Message

From: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]

Sent: 12/3/2015 5:41:02 PM

To: Makris, Susan [Makris.Susan@epa.gov]
CC: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Repro section suggestions **Attachments**: FA DevRepro 120215.docx

Hi Sue.

I've made the changes to the epi part and also edited the intro and integration paragraphs. If you haven't already begun your editing, please use this version. You will need to look at the intro and integration as well as the table to align the text and conclusions with how your synthesis has ended up.

We can discuss after you are through if needed. Can you tell us what date you expect to have finished?

Thanks, Barbara

From: Makris, Susan

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 4:42 PM **To:** Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Repro section suggestions

This does sound like it would be a logical approach. Sue

From: Glenn, Barbara

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 3:42 PM

To: Makris, Susan < Makris, Susan@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Repro section suggestions

Goodness. If it isn't one thing...

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-Barbara

From: Makris, Susan

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 3:05 PM
To: Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov >
Cc: Glenn, Barbara < Glenn. Barbara@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Repro section suggestions

I figured out the problem of not being able to see your comments on page 37. I had to go into the "Review" tab, then select "Show Markup", then open the menu in "Balloons" and select "Show only comments and formatting" instead of the other options that focus on seeing the revisions presented in balloons. You learn something new every day! Now I wonder how many times I've missed comments from someone by not having Word set this way!

From: Kraft, Andrew

Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:27 AM **To:** Makris, Susan Makris.Susan@epa.gov>

Cc: Glenn, Barbara < Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew < Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: Repro section suggestions

Hi Sue,

Barbara reorganized the human data section, and we both provided some comments/ suggestions for you to consider during your revision.

Similar to the MOA suggestions I sent previously, these are a bit messy, but please let us know when you would like to meet to discuss potential changes to the major conclusions, or any of the other revision suggestions that might be unclear or warranting a chat. We hope that you find these helpful, and please let us know your thoughts on a schedule for bringing this section to completion.

Best, Barbara and Andrew